Previous references.
Police F. 21 of 01/02
Misc 25 of 97/98.

1903-04
(Police)

File No. 1 of 03

Serial Nos. 1 – 4

Later references.
Police F. No 111 of 03-04

23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Report on the working of the Arms and Explosives Regulations for 1902 with the Government Review thereon

---

Table of Contents

J36 Sl No 1. From the I.G. of Police No 106 of 6-7-03
Forwarding the report on the working of the Arms & Explosives Regulations for 1902

Sl No 2 To the Secretary G.O. dept No 1394 S of 15-7-03
Forwarding the Report for Remark

J197 Sl No 3 From the Secretary to Govt Legislative dept No 77 of 16/9
Forwarding his remarks about the report of 1902.

Sl No 4. To the I.G. of Police, Secretary, G.O. dept, Legislative dept
Recording with certain remarks the
G. R. S. 58 Report on the working of the Arms & Explosives Regulation for 1902.

No 139. 5-15-7-03

Serial No 401 J. 36

7-7-03

1903-1904





Serial No 2

From The Director General of Police in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore General and Revenue Depts

Dated
Despatched 6 July 03.
Received

General No. 160
No. 15

Enclosure No. 6.

Forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Geological Department, for favour of remarks, if any.

B. O.

M.S. Chandrasekhara
for Secretary,
General Depts

Submitting the report on the working of the Arms and Explosives Regulations for 1902.

No 160 / 15 E No 6

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore,
Dated, Bangalore, 6th July 1903.

From,

C. Madiah Esquire, Rao Bahadur,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To,

H. V. Nanjundappa Esquire, M.A.L.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the report on the working of the Arms and Explosives Regulations for the year 1902.

2. Statement NO: I appended to report shows the number and description of

of licenses issued under the various forms. It will be seen that 10740 licenses covering 11252 fire arms and 133 other arms were issued during the year against 12364, covering 12391 fire arms and 140 other arms during the preceding year, showing a decrease of 1624 licenses. The particulars of licenses issued under the different forms are given below: —

(a) Under Form I, licenses to transport Arms, Ammunition or military Stores; 16 licenses were issued during the year against 5 during the previous year, showing an increase of 11 licenses. The Bangalore District was the only one that issued licenses under this form.

(b) Under Form II, license to manufacture convert or sell Arms, Ammunition

Military Stores, 25 licenses covering 484 firearms were issued during the year against 31 covering 363 firearms and 5 other arms, during the previous year showing a decrease of 6 licenses. The Districts which did not issue any licenses under this form were Kolar, Hasan, and Chitaldroog.

c. Under Form III, licenses to Keep or sell Arms, Ammunition and Military Stores, 64 licenses were issued during the year, against 52 during the previous year showing an increase of 12 licenses. no licenses were issued in the French-Rocks Sub-Division under this form.

d. Under Form IV, licenses to possess Arms and Ammunition and to go armed for purposes of sport, protection or display. 10245 licenses for 10379 fire

fire-arms and 131 other arms were issu
during the year against 12020 coveri
11782 fire-arms and 134 other arms in
the previous year showing a decrease of
1775 licenses. Except an increase of 40,
127 and 16 respectively in the Hasan, --
Shimoga and Chitaldroog Districts, th
number of licenses issued decreased in
all the other Districts, the largest de-
crease viz 1128 being in the Kadur Dis-
trict. The District magistrate thereof ex-
plains the decrease as follows: —

= Since the Game Regulation came into
force, a circular was issued to the
effect that, unlike heretofore, licenses
under the Arms Regulation will here-
after be only for the purposes of self-
protection etc and will not entitle the
holders thereof to pursue game unless

hunters they take out separate licenses — under both the Regulations. The enquiry as to who were and who were not for taking out the additional license under the Game Regulation by applying on a five-Rupee stamp paper having been incomplete in the Taluks of Chickmagalur Kadur and Koppa, the applications for the renewal and grant of gun licenses received in the paid Taluks were not sent up by the Amildars to this office in time to admit of the licenses — applied for being renewed or granted for the year under report."

The Kolar and Bangalore Districts had a decrease of 437 and 290 licenses respectively and no explanation for this is forthcoming in their reports. The small decrease in the other Districts calls

calls for no remark.

(e) Under Form V, licenses to go armed on a journey, 13 licenses covering 14 fire-arms and 2 other arms were issued during the year against 10 licenses covering 10 fire-arms issued during the previous year. The only Districts which issued licenses under this form were Bangalore and Shimoga

(f) Under Form VI, licenses to —— possess fire-arms, Ammunition or military Stores in a District which has not been disarmed, 31 licenses were issued during the year against 32 —— during the previous year, showing a decrease of one license. As heretofore the Kolar District is the only one that has issued licenses under this form. They are reported to have been granted for

for the possession of small quantities of dynamite etc, for short periods for the purpose of sinking wells, blasting stones etc. Under Government order No: 239-48/S dated the 4th November 1902, the issue of licenses under this form is correct and it is presumed that the necessary certificate has also been obtained from the Superintendent of Police as required in para IV of the memorandum of the Chief Inspector of Explosives, published with the Government order above quoted.

11. Under form VII, licenses to possess and carry Arms and Ammunition for the purpose of destroying wild animals, 346 licenses covering 354 fire-arms were issued during the year against 214 license covering 223

fire-arms during the previous year, showing an increase of 132 licenses. no licenses were issued under this form in the Bangalore, Kupore and Hassan Districts and the French-Rocks Sub-Division. The District magistrate of Shimoga reports that the number of licenses granted in previous years and which were in force during the year under report was 598 and that 554 licenses covering 554 Arms were produced for inspection before the magistrates. In his report the District magistrate of Kolar states that 18 licenses covering 18 Arms were produced for inspection before the magistrates. The other Districts do not furnish any information on this point.

Statement No: II A attached shows the

the number of persons licensed to deal in Sulphur. It will be seen therefrom that there were 64 dealers in sulphur against 62 at the close of the previous year. The quantity of sulphur in the hands of the dealers on the 1st January 1902 was 10 tons, 19 cwts, 2 qrs and 13 7/8 lbs, as per Statement appended to the report for the half year ending the 31st December 1901. But in the Statement appended to this report, the balance shown is 10 tons, 17 cwts, 2 qrs and 20 7/8 lbs showing a decrease of 1 cwt, 3 qrs, and 21 lbs. This is due to the balance shown against the names of the marginally noted individuals in the report for the half year ending the 31st December 1901, not having been included in

1. Sheik Ismail of Mulbagal — 1 qr + 20 lbs
2. Karapakal Ramiah of Chintamani — 1 lbs
3. Venkatanarasimha Setty of Hole-Narsipur — 23 lbs
4. Razack Mohidin Sab of Hassan — 21 lbs
5. Subbasetty of Hassan — 1 cwt + 12 lbs

Total 1 cwt, 3 qrs, 21 lbs.

in that for the year under report as the said individuals did not renew their licenses. The District magistrate of Kolar reports that the quantity of — Sulphur viz. 1 qr and 21 lbs is still in the hands of the first two individuals unsold.. The District magistrate of Hassan reports that out of the last 3 persons mentioned in the margin, — Venkatanarasimha Setty of Hole Narsipur was given license for sale of sulphur in July 1902, and the balance shown against him was fully sold. Subba Setty of Hassan is reported to have applied for licenses both for sale of sulphur etc under the Arms Regulation, and gun-powder etc under the Explosives Regulation on a ten-rupee stamp — paper, but as he had not provided

himself with a suitable place for keeping the same at Hassan, his application was rejected. It would further appear from the District Magistrate's report, that the balance of sulphur in possession of the individual was sold by his Agents at Saklespur who had provided themselves with licenses under both the Regulations. The balance of Sulphur in the hands of Razack Mohiddin Sab of Hassan viz 21 lbs was fully sold in February, May and June 1902. The quantity of sulphur purchased by the dealers during the year was 20 Tons, 1 cwts and 27 1/20 lbs and that sold was 19 Tons, 18 cwts, and 14 1/40 lbs. The balance remaining with the dealers on the 1st January 1903 was 9 Tons, 1 cwt, 3 qrs, and 5 9/10 lbs. As usual, sulphur is

reported to have been used for medicinal and disinfecting purposes and for the preparation of ordinary fire-works.

4. Statement No: II B appended shows the number of licensed dealers etc in Saltpetre as required in Government Proceedings No: J 1314/23 dated the 26th February 1903. It will be seen from it that there were 31 dealers in saltpetre during the year under report. The balance on hand with the dealers on the 1st January 1902, was 3 tons, 15 cwts, 2 qrs and 15 3/4 lbs and the quantity purchased during the year was 24 tons, 15 cwts, 2 qrs, and 1 lbs. the quantity sold during the year was 24 tons, 17 cwts, 2 qrs and 3 lbs, and the balance remaining unsold in the hands of the dealers at the close of the

of the year was 3 tons, 13 cwts, 2 qrs and 13 3/4 lbs. The District magistrate of Chitaldroog reports that as the stock of Saltpetre was not being regularly inspected, the dealers maintained no accounts of the same, and that, in future, the inspection will be duly attended to. Saltpetre is reported to have been sold to manufacturers of fireworks and to Goldsmiths for use in cleaning metals.

2. Statement No: III attached shows the inspection made by Police officers and Magistrates of stock premises and books of licensed dealers. There were 84 shops during the year under report, of which 22 shops were inspected by Police Inspectors, 8 by superintendents and

and Assistant Superintendents of Police, and 26 by magistrates. In the Bangalore District, out of 17 shops of dealers licensed under forms II and III, 5 were inspected by Police Inspectors and magistrates. The Superintendent of Police did not at all inspect any during the year. The inspection work in this District was not satisfactory, and the District magistrate reports that needful instructions in the matter have been issued to all the officers concerned. In the Tumkur District none of the shops was inspected either by Police officers or magistrates and no explanation is forthcoming in the report for the omission. Out of 14 shops in the

the Mysore District, one in the Mysore City was inspected by the Assistant Superintendent of Police thrice, 3 by magistrates and 6 by Police Inspectors, two of these more than once. Five of the licensed dealers are reported not to have purchased sulphur during the year. There was only one shop in the French-Rocks Sub-Division and this was inspected by the Assistant Superintendent of Police and the Police Inspector, but not by any magistrate during the year. There are six shops in the Hassan District and four of these were inspected by Police officers and magistrates, and the remaining two were left uninspected. Out of 16 shops in the Shimoga District, only one was inspected by the Superintendent of

of Police, 4 by Police Inspectors and 7 by Magistrates, 4 of these more than once. It is reported that 4 out of these 14 shops could not be inspected as they were closed during the greater part of the year. Out of 15 shops in the Kadur District, only 2 were inspected by Police Inspectors, 4 by the Police Superintendent and 3 by the Magistrates, 2 of these more than ones. The number of shops uninspected by any of the officers was 8. In the Chitaldroog District, out of 7 shops 4 were inspected by the Magistrates, 3 of these more than once. The Police Assistant Superintendent and the Inspector did not at all inspect any of the shops during the

the year. The District Magistrate in his report says that no inspection was made by the Amildar Magistrates of Chitaldroog and Hosadrug and that the omission of the Police officers will be duly noticed.

It will be seen from the details noted above that the inspection work in all the Districts was far from satisfactory. This necessitates the attention of the District Magistrates being invited to para 5 of Government order No: J 727 of the 25th November 1902, reviewing the Arms and Explosives Report for the half year ending the 31st December 1901.

6. Statement No: IV attached shows the number of licenses issued under

under the Explosives Regulation. It will be seen that 94 licenses were issued under this Regulation showing an increase of 16 licenses. This increase is noticeable in the number of licenses issued under all the forms except those under form C, and in the Districts of Bangalore, Tumkur, Mysore and Hassan. No license under the Explosives Regulation was issued in the French-Rocks Sub-Division. The District Magistrate of Kadur reports that a license in form E was granted by Government to Mr. J.J. Leonard, Agent and Manager, Kadur-Mysore Gold Mining Company, Limited, Ajjampur for storing explosives for the mining purposes of the said Company.

7. Statement A appended shows — Districtwise the number of cases and persons prosecuted under the Arms Regulation during the year under report. Two hundred cases involving 206 — persons were prosecuted, of which 184 cases with 187 persons ended in conviction. Thirteen cases with 15 persons ended in discharge or acquittal and the remaining 3 cases with 4 persons were pending before magistrates at the close of the year. The largest number of cases prosecuted was in the Bangalore District, then come in order, Shimoga, Kadur, Hassan, Kolar, Tumkur and Mysore. Only one case was prosecuted in the French-Rocks sub-Divisi and the person concerned therein was fined

fined Rs: 10/-

8. During the year under report there was only one case of prosecution under the Explosives Regulation. This was in the Tumkur District, in which a person was prosecuted for possessing fireworks without a license. The accused was convicted and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs: 5/-.

9. In conclusion, I beg to state that the delay in the submission of this report was unavoidable owing to the late receipt of Statements of Saltpetre from the Districts, the District Magistrate of Tumkur being the last to send it on the 25th June 1903.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant,

Compd
rmk.

Inspector General of Police

Arms and Explosives Report

for 1902.

Compilation of Statements.

II A, II B, & III are the books.

Sl. No.	Serial No.		Date	Month	Year	Tons	Cwt	Qrs	lbs	Tons	Cwt	Qrs	lbs	Tons	Cwt	Qrs	lbs	Tons	Cwt	Qrs	lbs	Tons	Cwt	Qrs	lbs	Gunpowder which
		Bangalore District																								
1	2	Buddanajappa, Anekal	10	1	1902	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25 9/20	-	-	-	19 9/10	-	-	-	6 3/20	For preparing fireworks and medicines.
2	1	Sajjan Rao & Co,	1	1	do	4	9	1	4	4	9	1	4	1	16	2	6	-	-	-	-	1	11	2	4 3/4	-	5	-	1 1/4	
3	3	Murodin Sab, Nagartpet, Bangalore City	27	1	do	1	15	2	24	1	15	2	24	-	18	1	6	-	11	-	18	1	6	-	7 1/4	-	3	1	16 3/4	
4	4	Shamshaddin — do —	27	1	do	1	15	2	24	1	15	2	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	5	A. Marodin Sab & Co, Dodpetta	25	1	do	1	11	1	-	1	9	1	-	-	13	1	27 1/2	-	17	3	12	1	-	3	2	-	10	2	9 1/2	
6	6	Venkatgiriah, Chennapatna	28	1	do	-	4	1	24	-	17	3	12	-	14	1	22	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	13	-	1	3	9	
7	7	Pathasetty Puttanna — do —	28	1	do	3	11	1	20	3	11	1	20	-	11	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	9	-	-	1	22	
8	8	P. Rangasetty, Nagadi	30	1	do	-	8	3	20	-	17	3	12	-	3	3	24 3/8	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	24 3/8	-	-	3	-	
9	9	Mahomed Ibrahim Sab, Nagartpet	11	2	do	1	15	2	24	1	15	2	24	-	-	1	3	1	11	1	-	1	11	1	7	-	-	-	24	
10	10	Abdul Razack Khan — do —	22	10	do	-	-	-	-	-	8	3	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Total				15	12	2	-	17	8	-	24	4	8	1	7 7/8	3	-	1	27 1/20	6	6	2	24 1/40	1	2	1	4 13/20	
		Kolar District																											
1	-	Venkatasamiah	17	10	do	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Tumkur District																											
1	1	Bailappa of Tiptur	22	9	do	-	8	3	20	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	1	-	-	3	21	For fireworks & medicines.
2	2	Kolarki Seenasetty of Huliyar	7	1	do	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	18	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	27	
3	3	Janjaiah of Tiptur	11	12	1901	-	17	3	12	-	-	-	-	-	10	1	20	-	-	-	-	-	6	3	6	-	3	2	14	
4	4	Gatti Gurusiddaiya of Tumkur	11	12	do	-	-	-	-	-	17	3	12	-	-	-	11	-	17	3	12	-	4	1	20	-	13	2	3	
5	5	T. Narayansetty & Sons, Tumkur	18	2	1902	-	8	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	
6	6	Gatti Chendramma of Gubbi	18	2	do	1	2	1	8	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	7	-	-	-	2	
7	7	Voganna setty of Chicknaya Kanhally	10	10	1901	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	4	-	-	1	25	-	4	1	4	-	2	2	-	-	2	1	1	
8	8	Chendrappa of Kunigal	11	12	do	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	24	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	24	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	24	

No: II A Statement showing the number of licensed dealers in sulphur in the Mysore Province Their stock, and the purpose for which it was sold during the year 1902

Number	Key in General register under Arms Regulation	Name	Date of license	Quantity for which license was last obtained	Quantity for which license was issued during the year 1902.	Stock of Sulphur				Purpose for which Sulphur was sold	Result of Inspection and Remarks.
						Balance on 1st January 1902.	Purchased during the year	Sold during the years	Balance on 1st January 1903		

| | | |

Hassan District

| | | |

| | | |

No. II B. Statement showing the number of licensed dealers in Saltpetre, their stock and the purpose for which it was sold during the year 1902.

Number.	Number in general Register under the Explosives Regulation	Name	Date of license	Quantity for which license was last obtained	Quantity for which license was issued during the year under report	Stock of Saltpetre: Balance on 1st January 1902.	Purchased during the year	Sold during the year	Balance on the 1st January 1903.	General purpose for which Saltpetre was sold.	Result of Inspection & Remarks.
			Day / month / year	Tons cwts Qrs Lbs	Tons cwts Qrs Lbs	Tons cwts Qrs Lbs	Tons cwts Qrs Lbs	Tons cwts Qrs Lbs	Tons cwts Qrs Lbs		
		Bangalore District.									
		nil									
		Kolar District.									
1		K. Ramiah			1				1		He has applied for license but not sanctioned up to date.
		Total			1				1		
		Tumkur District.									
1		T. Narayansetty of Tumkur	18 2 1902			1 . 13	1 . 13				
2		Gatti Gurusiddayya of [illegible]	11 12 1901			13 1 16	13 1 16				
3		Gatti Chendranna of Gubbi	1 12 1901			1 1 15	5 3	3 2 6	3 2 9		
4		Vasannasetty of Tiptur	11 1 1902			12		5	7		
5		Jinjayya	11 12 1901			2 . 16	13 2 24	13 2 24	2 . 16		
6		Byrappa	11 12 1901			1 2 24	12 3 12	13 3 20	2 16		
		Total				5 . 27 2	6 3 21 2	5 3	6 1 20	For the manufacture of fireworks and for the use of Goldsmiths in cleaning metals.	

| | | |

Shimoga District

| | | |

No: III. Report of Inspection of stock premises and books of persons licensed under forms II and III. to manufacture, convert, keep or sell arms, ammunition of Military Stores in the Province of Mysore.

Number	Name, father's name and residence of licensee	Form of license	Date of license			Place of business	Inspected by Inspectors of Police								Magistrate		Superintendents of Police	
							1st Quarter		2nd Quarter		3rd Quarter		4th Quarter					
			Day	month	year		Day	month	Day	month	Day	month	Day	month	Day	month	Day	month
	Bangalore District																	
1.	Habib Khan. Satanoor, Kankanhalli Taluk	II	6	1	1902	Satanoor.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2.	Linga Chari – Alahalli Kankanhalli Taluk.	II	16	1	1902	Alahally.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.	Mahomed Mustan. Satanoor. Kankanhalli Taluk	II	21	1	1902	Satanoor.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4.	Rotti Hanumappa. Laskar Lines Bangalore City	II	24	1	'02	Laskar Lines	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5.	Mr. Mirliyeja alias Babamia, Laskar Lines Bangalore City	II	24	1	'02	ditto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6.	Ummar Khan. Ranasingh Pet. Bangalore City	II	ditto			Ranasingh Pet	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7.	Syed Jowkal Sab. Bettadapanpur. Bangalore Taluk	II	26	5	'02	Bettadasanpur	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1.	Sajjan Rao & Co. Dodpetta, Bangalore City	III	1	1	'02	Dodpet	29	3	31 31	7 8	30 30	9 10	31	12	18	4	-	-
2.	Muddarajappa. Anekal Kasba	III	10	1	'02	Anekal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.	Meeruddin Sahib. Nagarpet Bangalore City	III	27	1	'02	Nagarpet.	-	-	1 30	4 6	8	8	31	12	18	4	-	-

4. Shamshuddin Sahib	do	III	27	1	'02	do													
5. A. Meeruddin Sahib	Dodpet, Bangalore City	III	25	1	'02	Dodpet.	29	3			20 31	7 8	15	10	18	4	—	—	
6. Venkatapiriah	Chennapatna.	III	28	1	'02	Chennapatna													
7. Pattnasetty	Puttanna	III	—	do		do													
8. P. Rangasetty	magadi.	III	30	1	'02	magadi			4 30	5 6	16	8	19 27	11 12	18	4	—	—	
9. Mr. Ibrahim	nagarthpet. Bangalore City	III	11	2	'02	nagarthpet	30	3	30	6	30	9	31	12	18	4	—	—	
10. Abdul Razack Khan	do	III	22	10	'02	do													

Kolar District

1. S. Madar Sab & Co, son of Mahomed Gani, Oorgaum Camp		III	21	2	'02	Ooregaum Camp	6	2			26	7							These have been licensed under form 3 of Explosives Rule
2. C. D. Venkatasamiah. Brass merchant. Chintamani		III	17	10	'02	Chintamani													
1. Mr. C. B. Bennetts - agents Nobbs Explosive Co' Ltd Ooregaum.		7 Explosive Regulation	—	—	—	Ooregaum													

Tumkur District

1	Abdul Sattar of Tumkur.	II	3	1	1902	Shop No: 2164
2.	Abdul Rahiman of - do -	II	3	12	1901	— do — 2207
3.	Nimmachetty of Muddapanhally Kunigal Taluk.	II	27	5	'02	Madappanhally.
4.	Chickacharry of Devanaikanhally - Turvekere Sub - Taluk.	II	10	12	1901	Devanaikanhally
5.	Md. Izaek Sab of Honnudike Tumkur Taluk.	II	3	1	'02	Honnudike.
6.	Mohadin Khan Sab of Koratagere	II	21	12	'01	Koratagere. Shop No: 672
7.	Doddaveeracharry of Hosahalli - Kunigal Taluk.	II	22	9	'02	Hosahalli

Mysore District

1.	Ismail Sheriff, Serampet Mysore City	II	11	3	'02	Serampet
2.	Md. Salar Sahib of Mandi mohalla - Mysore City	II	11	3	'02	Mandi mohalla
1.	Syed Md. Sab. Laskar Mohalla, Mysore City	III	11	3	'02	Dodpetta
2.	Krishnappiahsetty — do — do	III	11	3	'02	— do —
3	Chowdri Lala Mia of — do —	III	— do		— do —	

4.	Samrajiah of —ಡಾ—	III	—ಡಾ—		—ಡಾ—																		
5.	Linganna setty of Sargoor Heggadadevankote Taluk	III	27	11	'02	Sargoor																	
8.	Venkataseitty of Gundlepet	III	11	3	'02	Gundlepet			8	4	3	7	3	10									
9.	Venkataseitty of Channajnagar	III	—ಡಾ—			Channajnagar			30	4					17	12							
10.	Mir Raza Alli Sab of Periapatam. Hunsur Taluk.	III	—ಡಾ—			Periapatam	26 10	1 2	30	6	19	9			26 28	1 10							
11.	Ghulam mahomed of Hunsur	III	—ಡಾ—			Hunsur.	14	1	20	6	30	9	31	12	31	12							
12.	Md. Mustan Sab of Gundlepet	III	—ಡಾ—			Gundlepet.																	
						French Rocks.																	
1	Dodda Chary son of Chikka-chary of Narainpur. Krishnarajpet Taluk	II	14	2	'02	Narainpur	22 29	2 3	28	6	30 30 29	7 8 9	30	10					28	6.			

Hassan District

1. Appaji Setty. Saklespur and Mutsagar Estate. Manjerabad	III	5	7	1902	Saklespur & Matsagar Estate	-	-	-	-	29	9	30	12	7	1	30	12		
2. Venkatanarasimhashetty Son of Narasimshetty of Hole Narsipur	III	5	7	1902	Hole Narsipur	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	11	-	-	-	-		
3. Venkatachala Setty & sons of Saklespur. Manjerabad Taluk	III	26	8	'02	Saklespur	-	-	-	-	29	9	30	12	7	1	-	-		
4. Jampla Sanjeeva Setty of Belur	III	23	9	'02	Belur	16	1	13	5	30	8	-	-	24	4	-	-		
5. Maisiny Develpowda Halasoor Estate. Manjerabad Taluk	III	29	10	'02	Halsoor Estate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
6. Perumal Dasappa of Banavar. Arsikere Taluk	III	13	11	'02	Banavar.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Shimoga District

1. Kittachary bin Nagappachary of Belalakoppa. Tirthalli	II	7	3	'02	Bellalakoppa														
2. Mohadin Sab bin Hussain Meera Sab of Belagavi. Shikarpur Taluk	II	10	4	'02	Belgavi														
3. Sheik Ibrahim Sab bin Mattan Sab of Bangalore, at Tirthalli Taluk.	II	10	6	'02	Tirthalli														

These 4 Shops could not be inspected as they were close during the greater part

6.	Mahomed Ummar Sab bin Sheik Ismail Sab of Honnali	II	2	7	02	Honnali											of the year owing to Hay
1.	G. Kadappa bin Muddappa of Shimoga town.	III	11	2	02	Shimoga town								22	1		
2.	Islapur Ramanna bin Huplanappa of Shimoga.	III		do		do								22	1		
3.	M. Ahmed Hussein & Co Shimoga.	III				do											
4.	Sannappa bin Basappa of Sagar	III	5	3	02	Sagar	31	3				31	12	3 28 20 28	2 4 9 12		
5.	Rattahally Basappa (Puttappa) son of Sagar.	III		do		Sagar	31	3				31	12	3 28 20 28	3 4 9 12		
6.	Bhistappa bin Rachappa Agadi — Siralkoppa Shikarpur	III	6	3	02	Siralkoppa								31 1	7 9	18	4
7.	Angadi Seshappa bin Manjanna of Tirthalli	III	11	3	02	Tirthally			10	6	27 8						
8.	Kanakappa bin Venkatiah of Kalavkatte. Sagar.	III	20	3	02	Kallavkatte								1 3 19 4 31	3 7 11 12		
9.	Yelbangi Sannahuddappa bin Kallappa of Nyamti. Honnali	III	10	4	02	Nyamti								16	6		
10.	Angadi Chennabasiah bin Basiah of Tirthalli.	III	19	6	02	Tirthally						12	12				

Kadur District

1.	Doddarangappa son of Kenchappa of Neelnahalli — Kadur Taluk	II	11	4	02	Neelnahally							
2.	Narainacharay of Koppa	II	26	3	02	Koppa							
3.	Jahangir Khan of Javali — Koodigere Taluk	II	11	4	02	Javali							
4.	Syed Hussein Sahib of Kalasa, Koodigere Taluk	II	11	4	02	Kalasa.							
1.	Messrs Clifford Bros & Co, of Chickmagalur	III	29	3	02	Chickmagalur						13	9
2.	Imam Sab of Aldoor of Chickmagalur Taluk	III	11	4	02	Aldoor							
3.	Abdul Khader Saheb son of Usman Saheb of Chickmagalur	III	11	4	02	Chickmagalur		13	4			25	5
4.	Krishna Setty & sons of Chickmagalur	III	—	do	—	do		5	5				
5.	Md. Usman Saheb of Chickmagalur	III	8	4	02	— do —						31	3
6.	Ramasingappa of — do —	III	23	8	02	— do —							
7.	Syed Ibrahim Saheb of Sanga meswarpet Chickmagalur.	III	11	4	02	Sangameswara pet							
8.	V. P. Shama Rao of Kadur	III	—	do	—	Kadur							
9	Dodgnappa of Tarikere	III	—	do	—	Tarikere						31 31	8 12

10	Guranna of —do—	III	—do—		Tarikere			—do—			
11	Ibrahim Sab of Koppa	III	—do—		Koppa.			30	12	2	10

Chitaldroog District

1.	Rajaynahalli Bheema Rayappa of Davangere.	III	14	3	02	Davangere		4 23	7 12		
2.	Kasal Venkatadhappa of —do—	III	14	3	02	—do—		4 31	7 12		
3.	Rajaynahalli Appanna of —do—	III	—do—			—do—		4 31	7 12		
4.	Nimma, son of Gosappa of Begur, Hosadurg Taluk	III	24	5	02	Begur					
5.	Kasi Narasimhia of Chitaldroog	III	1	5	02	Chitaldroog					
6.	Shroff Gundappa of Chitaldroog	III	1	5	02	—do—					
7.	Karim Sabi son of Valaji Mastan Sabi of Davangere	III	3	6	02	Davangere		4	7		

Inspector General of Police

Compd with

No. I. Statement showing the number and description of licenses issued by the District Magistrates of the Mysore State for the Calendar year 1902.

Serial number	Nature of licenses issued	Number issued for the previous year.			Number issued for the calendar year 1902.			Variations Compared with the previous year.		Remarks
		Total number of licenses issued	Number of arms covered by the licenses issued.		Total number of licenses issued	Number of arms covered by the licenses issued		Increase in the number of licenses issued	Decrease in the number of licenses issued.	
			Fire-arms	other arms		Fire-arms	other arms			
1.	License to transport Arms, Ammunition or military stores in the Territories of Mysore. Form I	5	"	"	16	"	"	11	—	
2.	License to manufacture convert or sell arms, Ammunition or military	31	363	5	25	484	"	"	6	

	Stores, Form II									
3.	License to keep or sell arms Ammunition & military Stores, Form III	52	13	"	64	1	"	12	"	
4.	License to possess arms and Ammunition and to go armed for purposes of sport, protection or display Form IV	12020	11782	134	10245	10399	131	"	1775	
5.	License to go armed on a journey. Form V	10	10	"	13	14	2	3	"	
6.	License to possess fire-arms, Ammunition or military Stores in a District which has not been disarmed Form VI	32	"	"	31	"	"	"	1	
7.	License to possess & carry Arms & Ammunition for the purpose of destroying wild animals, Form VIII	214	223	1	346	356	"	132	"	
	Grand Total	12364	12391	140	10740	11252	133	158	1782	net decrease 1624

Compd

Inspector General of Police

No: IV. Licenses issued under the Explosives Regulation of 1858

Serial number	Nature of licenses issued	Total number of licenses issued for the preceding year	Total number of licenses issued for the year under report	Variations as compared with the preceding year.		Remarks.
				Increase	Decrease	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	License to manufacture, possess and sell explosives (Form A) under Rule 9 of the Explosive Rules	29	32	3	—	
2.	License to possess and sell explosives under Rule 9 of the Explosives Rules (Form B)	36	43	7	—	
3.	License to possess explosives					

Under Rule 9 of the Explosives Rules (Form C)	1	1	"	"
License to possess small quantities of Specified explosives under Rule 15 of the Explosives Rules (Form D)	2	6	4	"
License to possess any Specified explosives under Rule 18 of the explosives Rules (Form E)	2	3	1	"
License to sell specified Explosives under Rule 22 of the Explosives Rules (Form 7)	8	9	1	"
Total	78	94	16	"

Inspector General of Police

A. Districtwar Statement of Prosecutions under Arms Regulation for the year 1902.

Serial number	District	Number of cases		Number of persons		Remarks.
		Prosecuted	Convicted	Prosecuted	Convicted	
1	Bangalore	66	64	66	64	1 Case with one person discharged 1 do - do - do - pending
2	Kolar	16	14	17	14	2 Cases with 3 persons discharg
3	Tumkur	16	16	16	16	
4	Mysore	10	8	11	8	1 Case with one person discharged 1 do — do 2 persons pending
5	French Rocks	1	1	1	1	Fined Rs: 10/-
6	Hassan	19	17	19	17	1 Case with one person discharge 1 do - do - pending.
7	Shimoga	40	36	41	37	4 Cases with 4 persons discharg
8	Kadur	25	24	26	24	1 Case with 2 persons discharg
9	Chitaldroog	7	4	9	6	3 Cases with 3 persons discharg
	Total	200	184	206	187	13 Cases with 15 persons discharg 3 Cases with 4 persons pending

Inspector General of Police

Serial No 3 J. 197

No. 77-S.
Encls. Six.

12

MYSORE GEOLOGICAL DEPARTMENT,

DATED BANGALORE, 6th August 1903.

From

W. F. SMEETH ESQ., M.A., A.R.S.M., &c.,.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Geological Department.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya, Esq., M A., M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement No. 139, dated 15th July 1903, forwarding for my remarks the Report of the Inspector General of Police in Mysore on the working of the Arms and Explosives Regulations for the year 1902, I have the honour to state that I have very few remarks to make.

2. Statement No. I shows the number of licenses issued during the year under the Arms Regulation. Those in Forms II to VII include the licensing of ammunitions, but there is nothing to show how much ammunition has been licensed in each case. The maximum amount which can be so licensed is limited by Rule 5 of the Explosives Rules, but there is nothing to show whether this limit has been observed.

3. In para 2. f. the Inspector General of Police observes that 31 licenses in Form VI were issued in the Kolar District for possession of small quantities of dynamite &c. It is remarkable that the Kolar District should be the only one in which

such licenses are required. Is no dynamite &c. used in other Districts for sinking wells &c. ? If not under what Form are the amounts licensed ?

4. In para 3 of the Report it is stated that 64 dealers in sulphur sold during the year 21 tons, 18 cwts and 14 1/40 lbs. It is presumed that the amount sold during the year ~~a~~ includes the amount used by such of the dealers as manufacture gunpowder or fireworks; otherwise the statement ought not to balance. If this is so, it might be as well to say "sold or used" in the head-line of the statement.

5. The same remark will apply to the statement No. II B of Licensed dealers in Saltpetre.

6. As noted by the Inspector General of Police the record of Inspections of Licensed Premises is by no means satisfactory and the inspection work should engage the attention of District Magistrates.

7. In para 6 and statement No. IV some account is given of Licenses issued under the Explosives Regulation. The statement is incomplete, as many more licenses were issued in Form E than are shown therein. I have pointed out previously ~~out~~ in my remarks on the corresponding Report for 1899-1900 that it would be better to omit the statement about licenses under the Explosives Regulation from this Report and leave the matter entirely to the Chief Inspector of Explosives.

The

8. The Report of the Inspector General of Police with its enclosures is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,

Geological Department.

Serial No 4

13

Read

Letter No. 100 of the 6th
June 1903 from the Lt Governor
in Council submitting a report on the
working of the Factories & Explosives
Acts for the calendar year 1902.

Letter No. 77-S of 6th
August 1903 from the Secretary
to Govt, Medical Deptt,
offering certain observations
on the above Report.

488-491 — 29.8.03

22.43 898-91

Read thereon.

Recorded.

2. In their review of the Report for the half year ending 31st Dec. 1901, Govt remarked on the unsatisfactory nature of the work done by local Magistrates & Police Officers in the matter of the inspection of licensed premises. They regret to observe that there has been little improvement in this respect during the year 1902 & that out of 84 shops only 22 were inspected by Police Supdts & by Supdts & Asst Supdts & 26 by Magistrates. Govt expect

District-Magistrates
attention to this
part of their duties, and that
every magazine in their districts
shall be inspected
at least once a year either
by themselves or by a subordinate
Magistrate or by a
Police Officer.

See 21 & 22 Vict. c. 31.

3. As a separate
report on Explosives
being issued every year by the
Chief Inspector of Explosives,
the Inspector General of Police
is requested to confine
his future reports to the
working of the Arms Act
only. Information
about the inspection of
premises licensed under the
Explosives Act will, however,
be furnished by the Inspector General
separately to the Chief Inspector,
who will embody
it in his report.

Home Dept
23.VIII.03

24/8/03
Lee

13/8/03

To
The Inspector General of Police
The Secretary, Legislative Department
Chief Inspector of Explosives
All District-Magistrates